

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7
8 TRUSTEES OF THE OPERATING
9 ENGINEERS PENSION TRUST, et al.,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 SEQUOIA ELECTRIC, LLC, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

2:11-CV-1179 JCM (PAL)

15 **ORDER**

16 Presently before the court is defendants Sequoia Electric, LLC, et. al.'s motion for
17 clarification. (Doc. #37). Plaintiffs Trustees of the Operating Engineers Pension Trust, et. al. filed
18 an opposition. (Doc. #38). Defendants then filed a reply. (Doc. #41).

19 On March 16, 2012, the court held a hearing and granted defendants' motion for preliminary
20 injunction. (Doc. #33). Defendants now move for clarification of the court's ruling from the bench.
21 (Doc. #37). Specifically, defendants propose to include the following sentence in the preliminary
22 injunction order: "[T]his contribution requirement shall not apply to work already claimed by other
23 unions and/or covered by other labor agreements." (Doc. #37).

24 This proposed language was not contemplated in the court's ruling from the bench and,
25 therefore, should not be included in the preliminary injunction order.

26 ...

27 ...
28

1 Accordingly,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendants Sequoia
3 Electric, LLC, et. al.'s motion for clarification (doc. #37) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

4 DATED April 30, 2012.

5
6 
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28